



New York Department of Health  
Delivery System Reform Incentive  
Payment (DSRIP) Program

NYS DSRIP PPS Final Scores and  
Recommendations:  
The New York Hospital Medical  
Center of Queens

March 13, 2015

## The New York Hospital Medical Center of Queens

### Organizational Component Scores

#	Section	IA Subjective Points Awarded	Total Possible Subjective Points	Panel Modifications	Final Subjective Points Awarded
1	Executive Summary	Pass	Pass/Fail	N/A	Pass
2	Governance	22.81	25		22.81
3	Community Needs Assessment	24.69	25		24.69
5	Workforce Strategy	14.93	16		14.93
6	Data Sharing, Confidentiality & Rapid Cycle Evaluation	5.00	5		5.00
7	PPS Cultural Competency/Health Literacy	14.67	15		14.67
8	DSRIP Budget & Flow of Funds	Pass	Pass/Fail	N/A	Pass
9	Financial Sustainability Plan	8.74	10		8.74
	<b>Final Organizational Subjective Score</b>	<b>90.83</b>	<b>96.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>90.83</b>

### Individual Project Scores

#	Project	IA Subjective Points Awarded	Total Possible Subjective Points	Panel Modifications	Final Subjective Points Awarded
1	2.a.ii	19.17	40		19.17
2	2.b.v	20.00	20		20.00
3	2.b.vii	16.67	20		16.67
4	2.b.viii	18.13	20		18.13
5	3.a.i	18.67	20		18.67
6	3.b.i	18.00	20		18.00
7	3.d.ii	19.33	20		19.33
8	3.g.ii	20.00	20		20.00
9	4.c.ii	91.11	100		91.11

### Bonus Points

Section	IA Subjective Points Awarded	Total Possible Subjective Points	Panel Modifications	Final Subjective Points Awarded
2.a.i IDS Bonus	N/A	N/A		N/A
Workforce Strategy Bonus	1.00	3	2.00	3.00



**Department  
of Health**

**Medicaid  
Redesign Team**

State of New York  
Department of Health  
Delivery System Reform Incentive Payment (DSRIP) Program  
PPS Project Application Score Modifications from DSRIP  
Project Approval and Oversight Panel

---

**PPS:** The New York Hospital Medical Center of Queens

**Project/Application Component:** Workforce Bonus

**Panel Action Taken:** The Panel increased the Workforce Bonus subjective scoring by 2 points from 1 to 3 points.

**Explanation:** The Panel felt there was sufficient evidence that the PPS did engage a proven workforce healthcare vendor and therefore should be awarded the full available bonus points instead of partial points. The language only indicated the PPS intended to contract with a proven workforce vendor and as a result only partial points were initially awarded by the IA.

---



## Organizational and Project Scoring Summary Tables

### Organizational Component Scores

Please note, the organizational component score is worth 30% of the final application score.

Section Points Possible		Reviewer Scores						Subjective Scores				Objective Score	Panel Adjustment	Final Adjusted Score
Section	Points Possible	1	2	3	4	5	6	Median	Average	Trimmed Average	Selected Subjective Score <sup>1</sup>	Workforce Score		
Executive Summary	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	N/A		Pass
Governance	25	22.90	22.50	21.67	24.48	20.33	25.00	22.70	22.81	22.81	22.81	N/A		22.81
Community Needs Assessment	25	25.00	24.79	24.58	23.13	25.00	21.67	24.69	24.03	24.50	24.69	N/A		24.69
Workforce Strategy	20	11.87	14.52	16.00	15.38	13.23	15.33	14.93	14.39	14.89	14.93	2.00		16.93
Data Sharing, Confidentiality & Rapid Cycle Evaluation	5	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.94	5.00	5.00	N/A		5.00
PPS Cultural Competency/Health Literacy	15	13.33	14.17	15.00	15.00	14.17	15.00	14.58	14.44	14.67	14.67	N/A		14.67
DSRIP Budget & Flow of Funds	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	N/A		Pass
Financial Sustainability Plan	10	5.56	7.96	8.33	10.00	8.15	9.26	8.24	8.21	8.74	8.74	N/A		8.74
													<b>Total</b>	<b>92.83</b>

<sup>1</sup> **Selected Subjective Score** is the highest of the median, average, and trimmed average



**Project Scores**

Please note, the project scores are worth 70% of the final application score.

Points Possible		Reviewer Scores						Subjective Scores				Objective Scores		Panel Adjustment	Final Adjusted Score
Project #	Subjective Points Possible	1	2	3	4	5	6	Median	Average	Trimmed Average	Selected Subjective Score <sup>1</sup>	Scale Score	Speed Score		
2.a.ii	20	13.33	20.00	20.00	18.33	13.33	20.00	19.17	17.50	17.50	19.17	23.83	40.00		<b>83.00</b>
2.b.v	20	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	16.67	20.00	20.00	19.44	20.00	20.00	39.17	40.00		<b>99.17</b>
2.b.vii	20	16.00	17.33	18.67	16.00	14.67	17.33	16.67	16.67	16.67	16.67	31.87	35.83		<b>84.37</b>
2.b.viii	20	17.33	20.00	17.33	18.67	14.67	17.33	17.33	17.56	18.13	18.13	26.01	33.75		<b>77.89</b>
3.a.i	20	17.33	20.00	18.67	20.00	14.67	17.33	18.00	18.00	18.67	18.67	26.78	32.00		<b>77.45</b>
3.b.i	20	14.67	18.67	20.00	18.67	14.67	17.33	18.00	17.33	17.33	18.00	23.52	32.50		<b>74.02</b>
3.d.ii	20	13.33	20.00	18.67	20.00	14.67	20.00	19.33	17.78	17.78	19.33	22.56	37.50		<b>79.39</b>
3.g.ii	20	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	16.67	20.00	20.00	19.44	20.00	20.00	35.48	35.00		<b>90.48</b>
4.c.ii	100	94.44	88.89	94.44	88.89	83.33	88.89	88.89	89.81	91.11	91.11				<b>91.11</b>

<sup>1</sup> **Selected Subjective Score** is the highest of the median, average, and trimmed average



### Final Application Score Calculation

Please note the final application score is comprised of 30% Organizational Score + 70% Project Score + Bonuses

Project #	Organizational Score	Weighted Organizational Score 30%	Project Score	Weighted Project Score 70%	Bonus (2.a.i IDS)	Bonus (Workforce)	Bonus (2.d.i Project)	Panel Adjustment	Final Application Score
2.a.ii	92.83	27.85	83.00	58.10	N/A	1.00	TBD	2.00	<b>88.95</b>
2.b.v	92.83	27.85	99.17	69.42	N/A	1.00	TBD	2.00	<b>100.00</b>
2.b.vii	92.83	27.85	84.37	59.06	N/A	1.00	TBD	2.00	<b>89.91</b>
2.b.viii	92.83	27.85	77.89	54.53	N/A	1.00	TBD	2.00	<b>85.38</b>
3.a.i	92.83	27.85	77.45	54.22	N/A	1.00	TBD	2.00	<b>85.07</b>
3.b.i	92.83	27.85	74.02	51.81	N/A	1.00	TBD	2.00	<b>82.66</b>
3.d.ii	92.83	27.85	79.39	55.57	N/A	1.00	TBD	2.00	<b>86.42</b>
3.g.ii	92.83	27.85	90.48	63.33	N/A	1.00	TBD	2.00	<b>94.18</b>
4.c.ii	92.83	27.85	91.11	63.78	N/A	1.00	TBD	2.00	<b>94.63</b>