

PPS Provider Appeals – DY1 Q2

PPS Provider Name: [Millennium Collaborative Care PPS Appeal 1 of 1](#)

Conclusion: -

Provider unsuccessfully appealed the Independent Assessor’s findings relative to the **speed and scale** requirements for **Project 3.b.i.** for DSRIP Year 1, Quarter 2. -

Independent Assessor initially failed the PPS for Project 3.b.i. on speed and scale requirements which - required the PPS to meet at least 80% of their actively engaged commitment for patient engagement - speed as indicated in the project plan application for each project. The Independent Assessor (IA) - determined that the PPS did not submit documentation to support that the PPS met at least 80% of their - actively engaged commitment for patient engagement speed. -

Upon appeal, the PPS confirmed that their initial data submission with their quarterly report did not - include the patient registry file for the Project 3.b.i. But, the PPS did successfully upload and submit with - their quarterly report, separate patient registries for two other projects. The PPS further contended that - the absence of the patient registry was the result of human error or a problem with the MAPP tool. The - appeal was upheld because the PPS did not submit the patient registry within the required time period. - Further, the actively engaged validation process was not subject to remediation. The numbers of - Actively Engaged members and the supporting documentation must be accurate at the time of the initial - submission of the Quarterly Report. As a result, the Independent Assessor upheld its original - determination on appeal. -