

PPS Provider Appeals – DY1 Q2

**PPS Provider Name:** [Nassau Queens PPS Appeal 1 of 1](#)

**Conclusion:** -

Provider unsuccessfully appealed the Independent Assessor’s findings relative to the **speed and scale** requirements for **Project 2.b.ii.** and **Project 2.b.iv.** for DSRIP Year 1, Quarter 2. -

Independent Assessor initially failed the PPS for Projects 2.b.ii and 2.b.iv. on speed and scale - requirements which required the PPS to meet at least 80% of their actively engaged commitment for - patient engagement speed as indicated in the project plan application for each project. The Independent - Assessor (IA) determined that the PPS did not submit documentation to support that the PPS met at - least 80% of their actively engaged commitment for patient engagement speed. -

Upon appeal, the PPS wrote that they followed the DOH exception guidance for those PPS without a - Business Associate Agreement (BAA) or a Data Exchange Application and Agreement (DEAA) in place. - Because of that guidance, the PPS contends that they submitted aggregate Medicaid enrollee counts - based on attestations of engagement numbers from those providers with which it did not yet have - Business Associate Agreements in place. Relying on the DOH statement that the IA would accept an - aggregate count, the PPS was careful to make sure that copies of the attestations were maintained, in - case the IA requested them for review. The IA upheld the finding because the PPS did not submit the - attestations with the quarterly report. Further, the actively engaged validation process was not subject - to remediation. The numbers of Actively Engaged members and the supporting documentation must be - accurate at the time of the initial submission of the Quarterly Report. As a result, the Independent - Assessor upheld its original determination on appeal. -